

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET'NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,722	722 06/12/2001		Yasufumi Ichikawa	33677	4905
116	7590	11/21/2006	EXAMINER'		
PEARNE &			PEREZ, ANGELICA		
	SUITE 1200				PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	ID, OH	44114-3108	2618		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del> </del>	Application No.	A-n1:4(-)					
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/879,722	ICHIKAWA, YASUFUMI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Angelica M. Perez	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days  vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/01/	<u>/2006</u> .						
, _	action is non-final.						
	· <del>_</del>						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 25-38 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24,39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	<b>4</b> 5≦′						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see amendment filed on 9/01/2006, with respect to claim 7, page 3, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection to claim 7 has been withdrawn. However, new grounds of rejections have been applied.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 25-38 are allowed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 13-14 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkemes (Malkemes et al.; WO 97/40584) in view of Igarashi (Igarashi et al.; 5,926,749 A) and further in view of (Nguyen et al.; 6,253,092 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Malkemes teaches of a transmission power control method, voltage controller and apparatus for controlling the power to transmit to the distant party (page 1, lines 11-15 and 22-24; column 1, lines 7-10 and figure 1), comprising the steps of: controlling a digital-to-analog converter for generating an analog baseband signal (page 4, lines 7-13; where control is exercised by having the stages in a fixed fashion; page 11, lines 9-10; e.g., " ... I and Q signals are applied to digital-to-analog converters..."), to be input to a modulator (page 11, lines 10-13;

Art Unit: 2618

where analog signals are inputted into the modulator in order to convert them into IF signals); modulating the baseband signal for frequency-converting the baseband signal to a modulated signal in an IF band (page 11, lines 10-13; e.g., "... modulated to an intermediate frequency"); inputting the modulated signal into an amplifier of an power amplifiers (page 6, lines 28-29), Malkemes further teaches of controlling a power amplifier for amplifying the transmission signal modulated by the modulator (page 11, lines 17-18; e.g., "the radio frequency signal is then applied to the transmit power amplifier..."). Malkemes further teaches where the amplified signal receives no further modulation (figure 2, items 160 and 190; where the signal is transmitted, after it is amplified for a last time, without further modulation).

Malkemes does not specifically teach of individually controlling each of a plurality of variable power amplifiers for variably amplifying the modulated signal for transmission without further modulation.

In related art, concerning an amplifier circuit having common AGC to IF and RF amplifiers for use in a transmitter, Igarashi teaches of individually controlling each of a plurality of variable power amplifiers for variably amplifying the modulated signal for transmission without further modulation (column 1, lines 24-26, where the apparatus exerts power control utilizing several power amplifiers; figure 4, items 2,3, 4 are individually controlled by V<sub>AGC1 and</sub> V<sub>AGC2</sub>, also see figure 1, item 8, where the signal is amplified for a last time without being modulated after the amplification).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes's power control method and apparatus with Igarashi's variable

Art Unit: 2618

power amplifiers in order to provide an amplifier circuit suitable for a transmitter, which is capable of realizing a large dynamic range in a simple configuration, as taught by Igarashi.

Malkemes and Igarashi do not specifically teach of an adjustable digital-to-analog converter.

In related art, regarding a closed loop transmitter with DAC sensitivity adjusted to detector nonlinearity, Nguyen teaches of an adjustable digital-to-analog converter (columns 2, 3 and 4, lines 10-12, 51-63 and 43-50, respectively).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes and Igarashi's power control method and apparatus with Nguyen's adjustable digital-to-analog converter in order to "maximize the resolution of the reference input signal over a wide range of power levels", as taught by Nguyen.

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 13, respectively. Malkemes further teaches where a control ratio of the variable power amplifiers is modified and at least one of series and parallel control in a control range is made in the controlling a plurality of variable power amplifiers (figure 1, items 2, 3, 4, 6, 8; where the examiner has selected an arrangement in series from the choices given by the applicant).

5. Claims 3, 5-6, 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkemes in view of Igarashi, further in view of Nguyen as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Fujita.

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen teach all the limitations of claims 2 and 14, respectively. Malkemes further teaches of circuit conditions between a portable

Art Unit: 2618

telephone and a base station being applied to the transmit output correction circuit (column 1, lines 58-67).

Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen do not specifically teach of a detection step of detecting a state of at least one of a local station and a distant station; and a modification step of modifying the control ratio according to the detected state.

In related art concerning a mobile communication transmitter capable of selectively amplifying amplifiers, Fujita teaches of a detection step of detecting a state of at least one of a local station and a distant station; and a modification step of modifying the control ratio according to the detected state (column 7, lines 28-30 and column 13, lines 19-47; where the detected states relate to position).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen's power control method and apparatus with Fujita's detecting a state and ratio control modification in order to provide a transmitter for mobile communication that can provide a high efficiency for a wide output dynamic range, as taught by Fujita.

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen teach all the limitations of claims 3 and 15, respectively.

Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen do not teach where the control ratio according to the state of at least one of the local station and the distant station is adaptively modified in the modification step.

Application/Control Number: 09/879,722 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Fujita further teaches where the control ratio according to the state of at least one of the local station and the distant station is adaptively modified in the modification step (columns 7, lines 28-30; changes are made as conditions change).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen's power control method and apparatus with Fujita's detected states of at least a local station and a distant station in order to provide a transmitter for mobile communication that can provide a high efficiency for a wide output dynamic range, as taught by Fujita.

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 13, respectively.

Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen do not specifically teach where a control sensitivity of each of the plurality of variable power amplifiers differs from each other.

Fujita further teaches where a control sensitivity of each of the plurality of variable power amplifiers differs from each other (figure 1, items 2, 3 and 4; where it is inherent of variable power amplifiers to differ regarding control sensitivity due to physical conditions such as position, interference, etc. See US Patent No.: 6,411,825; column 9, lines 34-39).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen's power control method and apparatus with Fujita's sensitivity control of the amplifiers in order to being able to perform better power adjustments.

Art Unit: 2618

6. Claims 4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkemes in view of Igarashi and Fujita, further in view of Nguyen and further in view of Davidovici (Davidovici et al.; US Patent No.: 5,963,583).

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Malkemes, Igarashi, Fujita and Nguyen teach all the limitations of claims 3 and 15, respectively.

Malkemes Igarashi, Fujita and Nguyen do not teach where a plurality of the states of at lest one of the local station and the destination station are detected in the detection step, where the control ratio is modified by using fuzzy control rules and fuzzy inference that are based on the plurality of states in the modification step.

In related art, concerning fussy-logic adaptive power control, Davidovici teaches of a plurality of the states of at lest one of the local station and the destination station are detected in the detection step, where the control ratio is modified by using fuzzy control rules and fuzzy inference that are based on the plurality of states in the modification step (columns 3 and 4, lines 18-20, 34-39 and 53-60; where the interference is indicated by the S/N ratio and the states are based on position).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Igarashi, Fujita and Nguyen variable power control method with Davidovici's Fuzzy-logic controller as an alternative method to manage the constantly changing detection states and to indicate the amount by which to increase or decrease transmitted power, as taught by Davidovici.

Art Unit: 2618

7. Claims 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over.

Malkemes in view of Fujita (Fujita, Masanori, EP 0,883,250 A2) and further in view of

Shibahara (Shibahara, Masashi; JP Patent No.: JP357166711A).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Malkemes teaches of a transmission power control method and apparatus for controlling the power to transmit to a distant party (page 1, lines 11-15 and 22-24; where the control of power in a long distance communication system is effectuated; column 1, lines 7-10 and figure 1). Malkemes further teaches of a power amplifier for amplifying a transmission signal (figure 2, item 160).

Malkemes does not specifically teach of a voltage controller for controlling the power amplifier via separate bias systems; and a control unit for controlling the plurality of voltage controllers.

In related art, concerning transmission power control, Fujita teaches of a voltage controllers for controlling the power amplifier via separate bias systems (figure 4, items 7a and 26 represent voltage controllers; where the examiner has considered the "amplifier" as a general term in the invention referring to the "variable amplifier" if this is not the case, then, the applicant has two different inventions. E.g., claims 1-6, 13-18 and 25-28 referring to a variable power amplifier; and claims 7-12, 19-24, 32-38 referring to a single amplifier; amplifier. Figure 4, items 24 and 25 represent the separate bias systems); and a control unit for controlling the plurality of voltage controllers (figure 4, item 9').

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes's power control method with Fujita's voltage controller in order

Art Unit: 2618

to set the output power level of the output terminal of the circuit to a desired level, as taught by Fujita.

Malkemes and Fujita do not specifically teach of a plurality of voltage controllers.

In related art, concerning a power amplifier for a musical instrument, Shibahara teaches of a plurality of voltage controllers (paragraph 2).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes and Fujita's power control method with Shibahara's plurality of voltage controllers in order to in order to "output the maximum electric power against load variation", as taught by Shibahara.

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara teach all the limitations of claims 7 and 19, respectively. Malkemes further teaches where a control ratio of the variable power amplifiers is modified and at least one of series and parallel control in a control range is made in the controlling a plurality of variable power amplifiers (figure 1, items 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, where the examiner has selected an arrangement in series from the choices given by the applicant).

Regarding claims 9 and 21, Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara teach all the limitations of claims 8 and 20, respectively. Malkemes further teaches of circuit conditions between a portable telephone and a base station being applied to the transmit output correction circuit (column 1, lines 58-67).

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara teach all the limitations of claims 9 and 21, respectively.

Art Unit: 2618

Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara do not teach where the control ratio according to the state of at least one of the local station and the distant station is adaptively modified in the modification step.

Fujita further teaches where the control ratio according to the state of at least one of the local station and the distant station is adaptively modified in the modification step (columns 7, lines 28-30; changes are made as conditions change).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara's power control method and apparatus with Fujita's detected states of at least a local station and a distant station in order to provide a transmitter for mobile communication that can provide a high efficiency for a wide output dynamic range, as taught by Fujita.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 18 and 24; Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara teach all the limitations of claims 7 and 19, respectively.

Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara do not specifically teach where a control sensitivity of each of the plurality of variable power amplifiers differs from each other.

Fujita further teaches where a control sensitivity of each of the plurality of variable power amplifiers differs from each other (figure 1, items 2, 3 and 4; where it is inherent of variable power amplifiers to differ regarding control sensitivity due to physical conditions such as position, interference, etc. See US Patent No.: 6,411,825; column 9, lines 34-39).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara's power control method and apparatus

Art Unit: 2618

with Fujita's sensitivity control of the amplifiers in order to being able to perform better power adjustments.

8. Claims 10 and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkemes in view of and Fujita, further in view of Shibahara and further in view of Davidovici (Davidovici et al.; US Patent No.: 5,963,583).

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara teach all the limitations of claims 9 and 21, respectively.

Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara do not teach where a plurality of the states of at lest one of the local station and the destination station are detected in the detection step, where the control ratio is modified by using fuzzy control rules and fuzzy inference that are based on the plurality of states in the modification step.

In related art, concerning fussy-logic adaptive power control, Davidovici teaches of a plurality of the states of at lest one of the local station and the destination station are detected in the detection step, where the control ratio is modified by using fuzzy control rules and fuzzy inference that are based on the plurality of states in the modification step (columns 3 and 4, lines 18-20, 34-39 and 53-60; where the interference is indicated by the S/N ratio and the states are based on position).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Fujita and Shibahara variable power control method with Davidovici's Fuzzy-logic controller as an alternative method to manage the constantly changing detection states and to indicate the amount by which to increase or decrease transmitted power, as taught by Davidovici.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 39 and 40, Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 13, respectively.

Igarashi further teaches where the plurality of variable power amplifiers are individually controlled such that a function of an output of the amplifier unit to a control voltage is substantially linear over a wider range of the control voltage than is a function of each one of said plurality of variable power amplifiers to the control voltage (columns 1 and 2, lines 62-67, 55-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine Malkemes, Igarashi and Nguyen's teachings with Igarashi's further teachings in order to accomplish a large dynamic range o voltages in a simple structure, as taugth by Igarashi.

Art Unit: 2618

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Angelica Perez whose telephone number is 571-272-7885. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 a.m. - 2:30 p.m., Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either the PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through the Private PAIR only. For more information about the pair system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Information regarding Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system can be found at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Jan 11-13-06 LANIO

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Angelica Pere

Art Unit 2618

November 9, 2006